

VU Research Portal

The effect of muscle fatigue on the last stride before stepping down a curb

Barbieri, F.A.; Lee, Y.J.; Gobbi, L.T.; Pijnappels, M.A.G.M.; van Dieen, J.H.

published in

Gait and Posture
2013

DOI (link to publisher)

[10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.09.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.09.015)

[Link to publication in VU Research Portal](#)

citation for published version (APA)

Barbieri, F. A., Lee, Y. J., Gobbi, L. T., Pijnappels, M. A. G. M., & van Dieen, J. H. (2013). The effect of muscle fatigue on the last stride before stepping down a curb. *Gait and Posture*, 37(4), 542-6.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.09.015>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

E-mail address:

vuresearchportal.ub@vu.nl



The effect of muscle fatigue on the last stride before stepping down a curb

Fabio Augusto Barbieri^{a,b,*}, Yun-Ju Lee^{b,c}, Lilian Teresa Bucken Gobbi^a, Mirjam Pijnappels^b, Jaap H. Van Dieën^b

^a UNESP, São Paulo State University at Rio Claro, LEPL, São Paulo, Brazil

^b Research Institute MOVE, Faculty of Human Movement Sciences, VU University Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

^c Department of Physical Therapy (M/C 898), University of Illinois at Chicago, United States

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 15 July 2012

Received in revised form 11 September 2012

Accepted 18 September 2012

Keywords:

Walking
Muscle fatigue
Stepping down
Variability

ABSTRACT

The stride before landing may be important during stepping down. The aim of this study was to analyze variability of the kinematics and muscle activity in the final stride before stepping down a curb, with and without ankle and knee muscle fatigue. Ten young participants walked at self-selected speed and stepped down a height difference (10-cm) in ongoing gait. Five trials were performed before and after a muscle fatigue protocol (one day: ankle muscle fatigue, another day: knee muscle fatigue). The analysis focused on the trailing leg during the last but one and the last step on the higher level. Kinematics and muscle activity were recorded. Fatigue increased variability of foot-step horizontal distance in the last step on the higher level of the trailing limb, as well as in the first steps on the lower level for both limbs. This appeared due to an increase in the range of motion of the knee joint after both fatigue protocols. Participants additionally showed an increased ankle and hip ROM and decreased knee ROM. Our results suggest a loss of control under fatigue reflected in a higher variability of trailing and leading limb-step horizontal distances, with compensatory changes to limit fatigue effects, such as a redistribution of movement over joints.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Problems with transitions between levels in gait, such as when stepping from a curb, are common causes of falls [1–3]. In level walking, muscle fatigue destabilizes motor control and consequently increases variability and decreases the stability [4] of gait. Such problems might have even more impact in negotiating level changes. In stepping down, with quadriceps or triceps surae muscle fatigue, subjects appeared to use compensatory strategies to redistribute work performed to unfatigued muscles and to enhance balance control [5]. Leg muscle fatigue did not cause changes in stride length and foot placement before stepping over an obstacle in young adults [6]. However, it is unknown whether leg muscle fatigue affects the last stride (approach phase) before stepping down.

The approach to a curb may be of crucial importance in preventing falls, since the stepping strategy appears to be planned based on visual information obtained during the penultimate step [7]. Moreover, adequate foot placement in the last step before the curb may be fundamental, since errors may result in a misstep on

the curb [8,9]. Step lengths of the last steps of the approach phase appear to be modulated to achieve optimal foot placement, to allow the last step on the high level, and to land close to the curb [9–11]. Finally, foot placement and the stance phase of the trailing leg on the higher level co-determine foot placement of the leading leg on the lower level, which is crucial for reducing the angular momentum gained in stepping down [12].

Therefore, the aim of the study was to analyze foot placement relative to the curb in the final stride before stepping down, with and without ankle and knee muscle fatigue. We additionally explored fatigue effects on kinematics and muscle activity (EMG) to reveal potential causes of changes in foot placement and potential compensatory adjustments. We expected an increased variability of foot placement of the trailing limb on the higher level and of the leading limb on the lower level.

2. Materials and methods

After signing informed consent, 10 healthy volunteers (age: 27.60 ± 2.79 years; weight: 69.21 ± 10.76 kg; height: 1.80 ± 0.08 m) participated in the present study that had been approved by the local ethics committee.

For each participant, data collection was performed on two days with a one-week interval. The participants performed stepping down tasks before and after one of two fatigue protocols: (a) knee muscles: repeated sit-to-stand movements from a chair without arm supports, with arms across the chest, at a frequency of 0.5 Hz controlled by a metronome [5,6]; (b) ankle muscles: repeated standing calf raise exercise [5] at the same frequency. In the latter exercise, participants were allowed

* Corresponding author at: Universidade Estadual Paulista – UNESP, IB, Rio Claro, Laboratório de Estudos da Postura e da Locomoção, Avenida 24-A, 1515 – Bela Vista, CEP: 13.506-900, Rio Claro, São Paulo, Brazil. Tel.: +55 19 3526 4320; fax: +55 19 3534 6436.

E-mail addresses: barbieri@rc.unesp.br, barbieri_rc@hotmail.com (F.A. Barbieri).

to touch the back of chair with their hands to ensure balance. The order of the fatigue protocols was balanced over subjects, to avoid order effects. The fatigue protocol was stopped when the participant indicated that he or she was unable to continue, when the movement frequency fell below and remained below 0.5 Hz after encouragement, or after 30 min. The endurance time during the fatigue protocol was recorded.

Before and after the fatigue protocol, the participants performed five trials of stepping down a 10-cm elevation. Subjects walked at self-selected speed over a raised platform over a length of 10 m and halfway they stepped down onto the lower part of the platform. Starting position was adjusted to make sure that participants stepped down with the right leg. The participants wore their own shoes. No rest period was allowed between trials and testing was started as soon as possible after the fatigue protocol and the time between the fatigue protocol and the gait trials (<3 min) was expected not to allow full recovery [13].

An optical system with 3 × 3 cameras (Optotrak Northern Digital Inc., Waterloo, Ontario, Canada) was used to record kinematics at a rate of 50 Hz. Neoprene bands, with clusters of three infrared Light Emitting Diodes were attached to the participant's body, at the pelvis (level of the posterior superior iliac spines), and at the thigh, shank and heels of the right and left leg. Cluster positions were related to anatomical landmarks based on separate pointer measurements [14]. The accuracy of the Optotrak system was within 0.3 mm. To enhance reliability, camera positions were maintained in throughout data collection, markers were placed by the same researcher in all sessions and remained in place during a session.

Kinematic data were filtered with a bidirectional second order low-pass Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 20 Hz. Step-foot horizontal distances of the trailing and leading feet to the level change were determined in steps $N-2$, $N-1$, N and $N+1$ (Fig. 1). The horizontal distances were calculated as the distance of the calcaneus landmark to the step. The step length, step width, step duration and step velocity were analyzed for each step. The coordinates of the Optotrak markers defined seven body segments: two feet, two lower legs, two upper legs, and a pelvis. Knee, ankle and hip joint angles of the both legs were estimated using a 3D linked-segment model [15] and the ranges of angular motion (ROM) of the left hip, knee and ankle joints in the sagittal plane were calculated.

EMG was recorded, synchronized with kinematic data, using disposable Ag/AgCl surface-electrodes (BlueSensor; lead-off area 1.0 cm², inter-electrode distance 2.0 cm). After abrasion and cleaning with alcohol, electrodes were attached over the left rectus femoris, biceps femoris, tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius lateralis in the left limb. Electrodes and EMG data collection followed to the SENIAM guidelines [16]. In addition, the same researcher positioned the electrodes before all measurements. EMG signals were band-pass filtered (10–500 Hz), amplified (20 times, Porti-17TM, TMS, Enschede, The Netherlands; input impedance >10¹² Ω, common mode rejection ratio >90 dB), and stored on disc (22 bits AD converted, resolution 71.5 nV/bit, sample rate 1000 samples/s). Off-line, EMG signals were band-pass filtered between 20 and 300 Hz and rectified and low-pass filtered at 15 Hz. The average amplitudes in each complete step were determined and values were normalized to peak values of the corresponding signals in the unfatigued trials of each participant. The between trial variability of kinematic, ROM and muscle activity was expressed as the standard deviation.

Before and after the fatigue protocol, muscle strength of the quadriceps and triceps surae muscles was measured, according to the muscle that we intended to fatigue, using custom-made dynamometers [17]. Subjects were firmly secured with straps fastening hips and shoulders. For knee extension, the participants were seated in a backward inclined chair, with a 90° hip angle (180° is full extension) and 120° knee angle (180° is full extension) and the lower leg tightly strapped to a strain gauge transducer (KAP, E/200 Hz, Bienfait BV Haarlem, The Netherlands) placed ~25 cm distal from the knee joint, which measured the force exerted at the shin. For

ankle extension, the participants were seated in an upright chair with their knee 90° flexed and their ankle in 20° dorsiflexion and, the fore-foot positioned on a 10 cm × 10 cm force transducer (AMTI M3-1000, Watertown, USA) that was mounted in the push-off platform. Forces were sampled at 1 kHz. Two attempts of maximal voluntary isometric contractions (MVIC) were made with the left limb before and after the stepping down trial. The means of the two attempts were calculated for each participant.

The dependent variables of interest were statistically analyzed with SPSS 18.0 for Windows[®] ($\alpha < 0.05$). Normal distribution of the data was verified by the Shapiro–Wilk test. The endurance times in the two fatigue protocols, and the MVIC values before and after fatigue were compared through Student *t*-tests for paired samples. The other dependent variables and their standard deviation were compared through one way ANOVAs for repeated measures with fatigue as the independent factor, with three levels: before fatigue (data averaged over two sessions), ankle muscle fatigue, and knee muscle fatigue. Tukey Post hoc tests were used to find the differences among levels.

3. Results

The participants performed the ankle fatigue protocol longer (19.6 ± 9.7 min) than the knee fatigue protocol (6.7 ± 7.2 min; $t_9 = 4.27$, $p < 0.002$). After the fatigue protocol, the maximal voluntary isometric contraction had decreased by on average 15% for the ankle (pre-fatigue: 128.59 ± 45.27 N; ankle muscle fatigue: 109.70 ± 28.17 N; $t_9 = 2.34$, $p < 0.04$) and by on average 4% for the knee (pre-fatigue: 242.94 ± 9.43 N; knee muscle fatigue: 233.20 ± 7.49 N; $t_9 = 5.27$, $p < 0.001$). Before fatigue, the trials before ankle and knee muscle fatigue were similar and not significantly different for all gait variables.

For the foot-distance (Fig. 2), the results of the ANOVA tests may be indicative of an effect of fatigue on the trailing (left) foot-step distance in $N-1$ ($F_{2,98} = 7.52$; $p < 0.002$) and $N+1$ ($F_{2,98} = 5.75$; $p < 0.005$), without significant differences for the leading foot-step distance. The foot-distance was increased in step $N-1$ ($p < 0.02$), and decreased in $N+1$ ($p < 0.02$) with ankle muscle fatigue. With knee muscle fatigue, there was no change in the foot-distance. Both ankle and knee muscle fatigue caused increased variability of foot-distance in step $N-1$ and $N+1$ ($F_{2,18} = 4.50$; $p < 0.03$, and $F_{2,18} = 3.98$; $p < 0.04$, respectively) for the trailing foot and in step N for the leading foot ($F_{2,18} = 9.19$; $p < 0.005$).

The results of the ANOVA tests may be indicative of an effect of fatigue on the spatial-temporal parameters, joint ROM and EMG (Table 1). After both fatigue protocols, the participants showed decreased knee ROM ($F_{2,98} = 76.37$; $p < 0.001$; and $F_{2,98} = 41.26$; $p < 0.001$, respectively) and increased ankle ROM ($F_{2,98} = 50.75$; $p < 0.001$; and $F_{2,98} = 43.19$; $p < 0.001$, respectively) for both steps ($N-2$ and $N-1$).

With ankle muscle fatigue, the participants reduced step length ($F_{2,98} = 10.24$; $p < 0.001$) and step duration ($F_{2,98} = 6.95$; $p < 0.02$) and increased the activity of biceps femoris ($F_{2,98} = 22.83$; $p < 0.001$) in $N-2$, and increased the activity of rectus femoris ($F_{2,98} = 10.01$; $p < 0.001$) in $N-1$. In addition, they increased hip ROM ($F_{2,98} = 11.60$; $p < 0.001$; $F_{2,98} = 12.13$; $p < 0.001$, respectively) in both steps.

With knee muscle fatigue, the participants increased step width ($F_{2,98} = 7.39$, $p < 0.002$; and $F_{2,98} = 8.02$; $p < 0.003$, respectively) and decreased the activity of biceps femoris ($F_{2,98} = 22.83$; $p < 0.001$, and $F_{2,98} = 10.29$; $p < 0.001$, respectively) and tibialis anterior ($F_{2,98} = 9.74$; $p < 0.001$, and $F_{2,98} = 4.81$; $p < 0.01$, respectively) in both steps. Besides, they decreased step duration ($F_{2,98} = 4.28$; $p < 0.03$), and the activity of rectus femoris ($F_{2,98} = 10.01$; $p < 0.001$) and gastrocnemius lateralis ($F_{2,98} = 3.64$; $p < 0.03$), and increased step velocity ($F_{2,98} = 8.92$; $p < 0.001$), and hip ROM ($F_{2,98} = 11.60$; $p < 0.001$) in $N-1$.

With respect to between trial variability (Table 2), with ankle muscle fatigue, increased variability of knee ROM ($F_{2,18} = 81.097$; $p < 0.004$), and decreased variability of hip ROM ($F_{2,18} = 5.30$; $p < 0.04$) were found in $N-1$. With knee muscle fatigue, variability of knee ROM ($F_{2,18} = 9.11$; $p < 0.009$) was increased in $N-2$.




Fig. 1. A top view of steps analyzed in the study. Dashed line represents the foot placement. SL – step length; SW – step width; FD – foot distance; L – left leg (trailing foot); R – right leg (leading foot).




Fig. 2. Foot-step distance for trailing (left column) and leading (right column) limbs. The top panels represent the means and standard deviations for each step before and after the fatigue protocols. The lower panels represent the variability (standard deviation) for each step before and after the fatigue protocols. Asterisk (*) indicates significant differences between pre-fatigue and ankle muscle fatigue. Plus (+) indicates significant differences between pre-fatigue and knee muscle fatigue.

Table 1
Mean and standard deviations of spatial–temporal parameters, joint ROM and EMG parameters before (pre-fatigue) and after fatigue (ankle and knee muscle fatigue). The first *p*-values are comparison between pre-fatigue and ankle muscle fatigue in the Post hoc test; the second *p*-values are comparison between pre-fatigue and knee muscle fatigue in the Post hoc test.

	Pre-fatigue	Ankle fatigue	<i>p</i> -Values	Knee fatigue	<i>p</i> -Values
Spatial–temporal					
Step length					
<i>N</i> – 2	78.49 ± 5.67	75.97 ± 6.20	0.001	77.52 ± 5.14	0.09
<i>N</i> – 1	72.32 ± 4.63	70.93 ± 7.78	0.23	72.46 ± 4.46	0.99
Step width					
<i>N</i> – 2	13.78 ± 4.38	14.99 ± 4.04	0.07	16.11 ± 5.95	0.001
<i>N</i> – 1	16.60 ± 4.63	17.28 ± 3.74	0.64	19.12 ± 5.64	0.001
Step duration					
<i>N</i> – 2	0.56 ± 0.06	0.55 ± 0.07	0.02	0.55 ± 0.05	0.83
<i>N</i> – 1	0.58 ± 0.05	0.58 ± 0.05	0.99	0.56 ± 0.05	0.03
Step velocity					
<i>N</i> – 2	142.25 ± 19.50	141.06 ± 20.01	0.99	142.02 ± 15.24	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	125.18 ± 12.73	121.97 ± 14.37	0.08	129.89 ± 16.64	0.04
ROM					
Ankle ROM (°)					
<i>N</i> – 2	21.75 ± 5.56	30.24 ± 6.57	0.001	30.43 ± 8.27	0.001
<i>N</i> – 1	21.84 ± 4.47	28.96 ± 7.34	0.001	31.58 ± 8.06	0.001
Knee ROM (°)					
<i>N</i> – 2	66.18 ± 4.85	49.70 ± 8.93	0.001	52.96 ± 5.47	0.001
<i>N</i> – 1	66.34 ± 4.55	48.03 ± 10.60	0.001	55.04 ± 13.01	0.001
Hip ROM (°)					
<i>N</i> – 2	28.67 ± 4.38	34.52 ± 5.20	0.001	31.16 ± 9.96	0.11
<i>N</i> – 1	28.73 ± 7.04	34.20 ± 4.54	0.001	34.04 ± 9.60	0.001
RMS					
RMS rectus femoris (%)					
<i>N</i> – 2	17.94 ± 6.18	19.80 ± 8.48	0.51	16.08 ± 10.71	0.33
<i>N</i> – 1	23.52 ± 12.45	30.70 ± 17.45	0.04	19.39 ± 14.04	0.01
RMS biceps femoris (%)					
<i>N</i> – 2	22.06 ± 5.73	32.63 ± 20.11	0.001	18.19 ± 9.48	0.006
<i>N</i> – 1	14.47 ± 10.19	13.57 ± 8.84	0.99	7.70 ± 5.37	0.001
RMS tibialis anterior (%)					
<i>N</i> – 2	26.26 ± 15.38	24.24 ± 9.55	0.98	17.43 ± 9.41	0.002
<i>N</i> – 1	12.29 ± 4.49	12.30 ± 5.37	0.97	9.92 ± 5.39	0.02
RMS gastrocnemius lateralis (%)					
<i>N</i> – 2	10.66 ± 5.16	12.67 ± 9.86	0.08	8.71 ± 6.40	0.23
<i>N</i> – 1	20.94 ± 4.73	19.85 ± 8.51	0.96	17.22 ± 9.67	0.01

Table 2

Variability (standard deviation) of spatial–temporal parameters, joint ROM and EMG parameters before (pre-fatigue) and after fatigue (ankle and knee fatigue). The first *p*-values are comparison between pre-fatigue and ankle muscle fatigue in the Post hoc test; the second *p*-values are comparison between pre-fatigue and knee muscle fatigue in the Post hoc test.

	Pre-fatigue	Ankle fatigue	<i>p</i> -Values	Knee fatigue	<i>p</i> -Values
Step length					
<i>N</i> – 2	1.42 ± 0.46	1.59 ± 0.80	0.89	1.71 ± 0.50	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	1.37 ± 0.49	1.59 ± 0.72	0.99	1.22 ± 0.30	0.96
Step width					
<i>N</i> – 2	1.66 ± 0.40	1.56 ± 0.68	0.99	1.80 ± 1.27	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	1.53 ± 0.69	1.45 ± 0.81	0.99	1.16 ± 0.49	0.06
Step duration					
<i>N</i> – 2	0.02 ± 0.007	0.02 ± 0.007	0.98	0.02 ± 0.01	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	0.02 ± 0.01	0.02 ± 0.01	0.99	0.02 ± 0.01	0.98
Step velocity					
<i>N</i> – 2	6.40 ± 2.36	5.24 ± 2.29	0.65	6.48 ± 3.65	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	3.35 ± 0.97	5.76 ± 2.64	0.12	6.39 ± 3.49	0.06
Ankle ROM					
<i>N</i> – 2	3.90 ± 1.33	3.91 ± 1.20	0.99	4.90 ± 2.27	0.93
<i>N</i> – 1	2.75 ± 0.61	3.67 ± 1.80	0.55	3.46 ± 1.74	0.66
Knee ROM					
<i>N</i> – 2	3.30 ± 0.78	7.45 ± 3.40	0.01	5.00 ± 1.03	0.01
<i>N</i> – 1	3.73 ± 1.61	9.13 ± 3.96	0.01	5.59 ± 2.22	0.09
Hip ROM					
<i>N</i> – 2	3.32 ± 1.82	2.65 ± 1.17	0.41	4.14 ± 3.07	0.65
<i>N</i> – 1	4.78 ± 2.24	3.20 ± 1.10	0.04	7.53 ± 5.41	0.29
RMS rectus femoris					
<i>N</i> – 2	4.03 ± 3.46	6.78 ± 4.07	0.44	5.65 ± 2.90	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	3.40 ± 1.69	4.68 ± 2.38	0.36	4.48 ± 1.98	0.47
RMS biceps femoris					
<i>N</i> – 2	3.75 ± 2.48	4.43 ± 2.76	0.99	5.98 ± 3.78	0.23
<i>N</i> – 1	3.61 ± 1.17	4.08 ± 2.45	0.98	3.32 ± 1.56	0.98
RMS tibialis anterior					
<i>N</i> – 2	2.45 ± 1.68	3.06 ± 2.31	0.98	6.67 ± 4.18	0.17
<i>N</i> – 1	3.81 ± 1.72	2.66 ± 1.19	0.47	3.70 ± 2.52	0.99
RMS gastrocnemius lateralis					
<i>N</i> – 2	2.69 ± 1.62	8.22 ± 6.71	0.17	3.71 ± 2.28	0.99
<i>N</i> – 1	3.58 ± 0.84	6.86 ± 4.06	0.36	9.21 ± 5.76	0.06

4. Discussion

The aim of the study was to analyze the effects of ankle and knee muscle fatigue on foot placement in the last stride before stepping down a curb in ongoing gait. The main finding of this study was a higher variability of foot-step horizontal distance in the last step on the higher level of trailing limb as well as in the first step on the lower level for both limbs with muscle fatigue. This suggests a loss of control and consequently an increased risk of making a misstep. We additionally explored kinematics and muscle activity to reveal potential causes of changes in foot placement and potential compensatory changes that would limit fatigue effects. An increased variability of knee ROM was found which may form the cause of the increased variability in foot placement. In addition, the participants made adjustments in the spatial–temporal gait parameters, which appeared to be aimed at increasing safety. Participants reduced step length and step duration, and increased step width and step velocity with muscle fatigue, which would facilitate medio-lateral balance control. In addition, participants increased ankle and hip ROM and decreased knee ROM. There were no changes in the variability of EMG parameters after fatigue, but average muscle activity changed with muscle fatigue.

The fatigue protocols used in the present study induced a significant reduction of muscle force, with the most pronounced change resulting from the ankle muscle fatigue protocol. The longer endurance time in the ankle fatigue protocol may account for the larger reduction in strength. In turn, this may account for the more pronounced changes in gait after the ankle muscle fatigue protocol, but this might also be due to a primary role of the triceps surae muscle in balance control [18].

Variability of the distance of the trailing limb (left foot) horizontal to the step was larger after both muscle fatigue

protocols. High variability might indicate a loss of control and a high risk of falling for example due to placing the foot partly over the edge [11]. The mean distance to the curb on the high level (step *N* – 1) was somewhat increased in ankle muscle fatigue, perhaps as a compensatory strategy to deal with this increased variability, but as a result the mean distance on the lower level was decreased. The increased variability of placement of the leading limb on the lower level also suggests a reduced control over the right foot placement, which might explain why subjects reduced the forward angular momentum at landing less in presence of fatigue [5]. Since no consistent changes were found in the variability of the EMG data, these cannot be related to foot placement variability. The kinematic data suggest that the increased variability in foot placement was mainly due to an increase in variability of knee motion, with the variability actually tending to go down in hip and ankle. This is somewhat surprising, as a direct effect of fatigue on the ankle kinematics might have been expected. Possibly, the bi-articular gastrocnemius muscle may have contributed to increased knee kinematic variability with ankle muscle fatigue.

The changes in joint kinematics and joint kinematic variability combined suggest that the control of both the left stance phase (*N* – 2) and the left swing phase (*N* – 1) is adapted to shift movement from the knee to the hips and ankles. After ankle muscle fatigue, further adjustments occurred in the last but one step (*N* – 2), where participants reduced step duration and step length. This might be regarded as an anticipatory strategy facilitating foot placement in relation to the curb [cf. 23]. In addition, a tendency toward shortened step length was found in *N* – 1, contributing to the significant increase in distance of the left foot to the curb in ankle fatigue. The increased step width and decreased step duration observed with knee muscle fatigue, which have previously been observed with fatigue in normal gait [6,18],

would facilitate medio-lateral balance control [24,25]. Possibly, the knee muscle fatigue protocol impaired medio-lateral control more than the ankle protocol.

The increased muscle activity of the biceps femoris in step $N - 2$ (stance phase) and rectus femoris in step $N - 1$ (swing phase) with ankle muscle fatigue might compensate for calf muscle fatigue, and might serve to contribute to propulsion by hip extension and improve control in the placement of the limb respectively [19]. The sit-to-stand task used to induce knee muscle fatigue probably also demands substantial activity of the calf muscle. This may explain the decrease of muscle activity of both calf and quadriceps muscles with knee muscle fatigue. The decrease in the biceps femoris and tibialis activity with knee muscle fatigue might indicate a compensatory strategy [20] to improve knee and ankle mechanical efficiency [21,22].

Although the findings shed some light on the effects of muscle fatigue in stepping down, some limitations are evident. We analyzed only the last stride on the higher level, while possibly participants began adjustments in preceding steps. However, a previous study has shown that the major adjustments of foot placement before stepping down occur in the last stride [11]. Next, we analyzed the left (trailing leg) only. The effects of fatigue on the mechanics of the leading limb at landing were addressed in a previous study. Furthermore, we analyzed only one curb height (10 cm). The limited sample size (ten young adults in each group) and the potential random variation caused by variability especially between days may have limited statistical power. Finally, a fairly large number of tests were used without correction for multiple testing in our secondary analysis aimed at finding causes and compensations for fatigue effects on foot placement. Considering these limitations, generalization of negative results as well as the results from the secondary analysis of kinematics and EMG data should be done with care.

In conclusion, the variability of placement of the trailing and leading limb relative to the curb increased with fatigue, suggesting a loss of control. This increase appears due to an increased variability of knee motion. Simultaneously, kinematic changes, such as adjustments of step width and step duration and an increased ankle and hip ROM and decreased knee ROM were found that might be aimed at limiting these fatigue effects.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank CAPES for financial support.

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. All authors disclose any financial and personal relationships with other people or organizations that could inappropriately influence (bias) our work and manuscript.

References

- [1] Ivers RQ, Cumming RG, Mitchell P, Attebo K. Visual impairment and falls in older adults: the Blue Mountains Eye Study. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1998;46(1):58–64.
- [2] Tinetti ME, Doucette JT, Claus EB. The contribution of predisposing and situational risk factors to serious fall injuries. *Journal of the American Geriatrics Society* 1995;43(11):1207–13.
- [3] Lord SR, Ward JA, Williams P, Anstey KJ. An epidemiological study of falls in older community-dwelling women: the Randwick falls and fractures study. *Australian Journal of Public Health* 1993;17(3):240–5.
- [4] Helbostad JL, Leirfall S, Moe-Nilssen R, Sletvold O. Physical fatigue affects gait characteristics in older persons. *Journals of Gerontology Series A Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2007;62(9):1010–5.
- [5] Barbieri FA, Gobbi LTB, Lee YJ, Pijnappels M, van Dieën JH. Effect of triceps surae and quadriceps muscle fatigue on the mechanics of landing in stepping down in ongoing gait. *Journal of Biomechanics*; submitted for publication.
- [6] Barbieri FA, Santos PCR, Vitório R, van Dieën JH, Gobbi LTB. Effect of muscle fatigue and physical activity level in motor control of the gait of young adults. *Gait and Posture*; submitted for publication.
- [7] Buckley JG, Timmis MA, Scally AJ, Elliott DB. When is visual information used to control locomotion when descending a kerb? *PLoS ONE* 2011; 6:e19079.
- [8] Begg RK, Sparrow WA. Gait characteristics of young and older individuals negotiating a raised surface: implications for the prevention of falls. *Journals of Gerontology Series A Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences* 2000;55(3): M147–54.
- [9] Konczak J, Meeuwse HJ, Cress ME. Changing affordances in stair climbing: the perception of maximum climbability in young and older adults. *Journal of Experimental Psychology Human Perception and Performance* 1992;18(3): 691–7.
- [10] Crosbie J, Ko V. Changes in the temporal and distance parameters of gait evoked by negotiation of curbs. *Australian Journal of Physiotherapy* 2000; 46(2):103–12.
- [11] Lythgo N, Begg R, Best R. Stepping responses made by elderly and young female adults to approach and accommodate known surface height changes. *Gait and Posture* 2007;26:82–9.
- [12] Dieën JH, Spanjaard M, Konemann R, Bron L, Pijnappels M. Balance control in stepping down expected and unexpected level changes. *Journal of Biomechanics* 2007;40(16):3641–9.
- [13] Parijat P, Lockhart TE. Effects of quadriceps fatigue on the biomechanics of gait and slip propensity. *Gait and Posture* 2008;28:568–73.
- [14] Cappozzo A, Catani F, Croce UD, Leardini A. Position and orientation in space of bones during movement: anatomical frame definition and determination. *Clinical Biomechanics* 1995;10:171–8.
- [15] Kingma I, Toussaint HM, de Looze MP, van Dieën JH. Segment inertial parameter evaluation in two anthropometric models by application of a dynamic linked segment model. *Journal of Biomechanics* 1996;29:693–704.
- [16] Hermens HJ, Freriks B, Disselhorst-Klug C, Rau G. Development of recommendations for SEMG sensors and sensor placement procedures. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology* 2000;10(5):361–74.
- [17] Pijnappels M, van der Burg JCE, Reeves ND, van Dieën JH. Identification of elderly fallers by muscle strength measures. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 2008;102:585–92.
- [18] Helbostad JL, Sturnieks DL, Menant J, Delbaere K, Lord SR, Pijnappels M. Consequences of lower extremity and trunk muscle fatigue on balance and functional tasks in older people: a systematic literature review. *BMC Geriatrics* 2010;10:56.
- [19] Vila-Chã C, Riis S, Lund D, Møller A, Farina D, Falla D. Effect of unaccustomed eccentric exercise on proprioception of the knee in weight and non-weight bearing tasks. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology* 2011;21(1):141–7.
- [20] Kellis E, Kovelioti V. Agonist versus antagonist muscle fatigue effects on thigh muscle activity and vertical ground reaction during drop landing. *Journal of Electromyography and Kinesiology* 2009;19:55–64.
- [21] Murdock GH, Hubley-Kozey CL. Effect of a high intensity quadriceps fatigue protocol on knee joint mechanics and muscle activation during gait in young adults. *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 2012; 112:439–49.
- [22] Padua DA, Arnold BL, Perrin DH, Gansneder BM, Garcia CR, Granata KP. Fatigue, vertical leg stiffness, and stiffness control strategies in males and females. *Journal of Athletic Training* 2006;41(3):294–304.
- [23] Patla AE, Prentice SD, Robinson C, Neufeld J. Visual control of locomotion: strategies for changing direction and for going over obstacles. *Journal of Experimental Psychology Human Perception and Performance* 1991;17(3): 603–34.
- [24] Hak L, Houdijk H, Steenbrink F, Mert A, van der Wurff P, Beek PJ, et al. Speeding up or slowing down? Gait adaptations to preserve gait stability in response to balance perturbations. *Gait and Posture* 2012;36(2):260–4.
- [25] Hof AL, van Bockel RM, Schoppen T, Postema K. Control of lateral balance in walking. Experimental findings in normal subjects and above-knee amputees. *Gait and Posture* 2007;25:250–8.